

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/820,804	03/30/2001	Bill Kitchen		6580		
29052 75	590 07/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	ND ASBILL & BRENNA	KAZIMI,	KAZIMI, HANI M			
999 PEACHTR ATLANTA, G	REE STREET, N.E. A 30309		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3624			
			B	_		

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/820,804		KITCHEN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Hani Kazim		3624				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If NO period for reply is specified abov - Failure to reply within the set or extent Any reply received by the Office later t earned patent term adjustment. See 3	FROM THE MAILING D. Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 g date of this communication. e, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply will, by statute than three months after the mailing	OATE OF THI 136(a). In no even will apply and will e, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on 18 A	April 2006.						
2a) This action is FINAL .								
/ 	s in condition for allowa			secution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 34,36-38,40-4	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34,36-38,40-43,45-47 and 49-55</u> is/are pending in the application.							
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 34, 36-38, 40	☐ Claim(s) <u>34, 36-38, 40-43, 45-47, and 49-55</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are sul	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is obj	ected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on	-		objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is ma a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies	None of:)-(d) or (f).				
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
	rtified copies of the prio		• •	•	Stage			
	the International Burea	-			3 -			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Di Information Disclosure Statement		`		No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 09/820,804 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on April
 2006. The rejections cited are as stated below:

Response to Applicant's amendment

2. Applicants' amendment filed on April 18, 2006 have been fully considered, and discussed in the next section below or within the following rejections are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicants' request for allowance is respectfully denied.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. 103

- **3.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 148 USPQ 459, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nobviousness.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

5. Claims 34, 36-38, 40-43, 45-47, and 49-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dent et al. US Patent No. 6,128,603 (hereinafter "Dent") in view of Hogan US Patent No. 5,699,528 and further in view Schutzer et al. US Patent No. 5,920,848.

Claims 34, 36-38, 40-43, 45-47, and 49-55 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dent et al. US Patent No. 6,128,603
(hereinafter "Dent") in view of Hogan US Patent No. 5,699,528 as discussed in paragraph 8 of the previous office action mailed on September 13, 2005. Further:

Both Dent and Hogan fail to teach that at least one of the plurality of categories is established by the payor.

Schutzer teaches a method and a corresponding system for using intelligent agents for performing financial transactions wherein at least one of the plurality of categories is a user-defined category (fig. 4, and column 12, lines 46-60).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the Applicant's invention was made to modify the teachings of Dent to include that at least one of the plurality of categories is established by the payor because, it greatly improves the efficiency of the system by providing an easier and more organized way of accessing bills, and a system that is user friendly.

Art Unit: 3624

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hani Kazimi whose telephone number is (571) 272-6745. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-2 17-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3624

HANÍ M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 3624

July 10, 2006